	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/939,294	DING ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sandra M. Nolan-Rayford	1772
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allo</u>	<u>owed</u>
(1) <u>Sandra M. Nolan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ted J. Barthel (312/578.6846)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 April 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See the Examiner's Amendment.	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Sal Nal 1 0		
SM. Nelm-Royford (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)